UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

Portia Roberts, : Civil Action No.: 2:16-cv-00275-WCO

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

v.

Diversified Adjustment Service, Inc., : COMPLAINT

: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, Portia Roberts, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* (the "TCPA").
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, Portia Roberts ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Gainesville, Georgia, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

4. Defendant, Diversified Adjustment Service, Inc. ("Diversified"), is a Minnesota business entity with an address of 600 Coon Rapids Boulevard, Coon Rapids, Minnesota 55434, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

FACTS

- 5. In or around March 2016, Diversified began calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone, number 470-xxx-1645.
- 6. When Plaintiff answered calls from Diversified, she heard silence and an automated click before the call was transferred to a live representative.
- 7. The foregoing is indicative of a predictive dialer, an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS") under the TCPA.
- 8. Plaintiff does not know how Diversified acquired her cellular telephone number. Plaintiff did not provide it to Diversified.
- 9. In addition, in May 2016 Plaintiff spoke with Diversified and demanded that the calls to her cease.
- 10. Nevertheless, Diversified continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT –</u> <u>47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.</u>

11. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 12. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular telephone number using an ATDS or predictive dialer.
- Communications Commission ("FCC") defines a predictive dialer as "a dialing system that automatically dials consumers' telephone numbers in a manner that "predicts" the time when a consumer will answer the phone and a [representative] will be available to take the call..."2003 TCPA Order, 18 FCC 36 Rcd 14022. The FCC explains that if a representative is not "free to take a call that has been placed by a predictive dialer, the consumer answers the phone only to hear 'dead air' or a dial tone, causing frustration." *Id.* In addition, the TCPA places prohibitions on companies that "abandon" calls by setting "the predictive dialers to ring for a very short period of time before disconnecting the call; in such cases, the predictive dialer does not record the call as having been abandoned." *Id.*
- 14. Defendant's telephone system(s) have all the earmarks of a predictive dialer.
- 15. When Plaintiff answered calls from Defendant, she heard silence before Defendant's telephone system would connect him to the next available representative.

- 16. Defendant's predictive dialers have the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator.
- 17. The calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were not placed for "emergency purposes" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).
- 18. The telephone number called by Defendant was and is assigned to a cellular telephone serviced by T-Mobile for which Plaintiff incurs charges pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 19. Plaintiff was annoyed, harassed and inconvenienced by Defendant's continued calls.
- 20. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each call placed in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 21. As a result of each of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

1. Statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) & (C);

- 2. Treble damages for each violation determined to be willful and/or knowing pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C); and
- 3. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: December 9, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg, Esq.
Attorney Bar No.: 598666
Attorney for Plaintiff Portia Roberts
LEMBERG LAW, LLC
43 Danbury Road, 3rd Floor
Wilton, CT 06897

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 ext. 5500

Facsimile: (203) 653-3424

Email: slemberg@lemberglaw.com